Texas A&M International University Annual Institutional Effectiveness Review (AIER)

Date Submitted 01/29/07

Assessment Period Covered (2006)

Academic Program/AES Unit PASE TRiO-Student Support Services

Person(s) Preparing Review Leticia Valle

Provide summary of the last cycle's use of results and changes implemented

The Program Director and Academic Coordinator met on January 25, 2007 to discuss results for 2006. As in the previous year, first time freshman and transfer program participants enrolled in English, History, Math, and all developmental courses will be required to attend two hours of tutoring per week. All new program participants will also be required to attend research and technology workshops taught by program staff. Due to low participant turnout the TRiO staff will be providing evening office hours only upon request.

Section I: Planning and Implementation

Institutional Mission

Texas A&M International University, a Member of The Texas A&M University System, prepares students for leadership roles in their chosen profession in an increasingly complex, culturally diverse state, national, and global society ... Through instruction, faculty and student research, and public service, Texas A&M International University embodies a strategic point of delivery for well-defined programs and services that improve the quality of life for citizens of the border region, the State of Texas, and national and international communities.

Academic Program or Administrative/Educational Support Unit Mission

TRiO's Student Support Services offers support services to program participants who are economically-disadvantaged, first generation college students and students with disabilities. Program participants receive tutoring and supplemental instruction in academic areas, career, personal and academic counseling, and the opportunity to attend cultural activities outside the University to encourage satisfactory academic progress and retention.

Identify outcomes and the relationship to Strategic Plan

○ Is this outcome related to writing (QEP)? Outcome 1 TRiO program participants will persist toward completion of an academic program (retention).

Identify Strategic Plan Goal related to Outcome 1

Goal 1 Academics

Identify Strategic Plan Objective related to Outcome 1

II.1.4 To provide timely and accurate advisement to each student through successful completion of degree

Identify methods of assessment to be used

TRiO Annual REPORT (Retention)

Indicate when assessment will take place

Spring

Criteria/Benchmark

The TRiO Annual Report will indicate that no less than 85% of 2005-2006 program participants will be enrolled at the university.

Outcome 2

☑ Is this outcome related to writing (QEP)?

TRiO program participants will be in good academic standing meaning that they will have a minimum GPA of 2.0 at the institution.

Identify Strategic Plan Goal related to Outcome 2

Goal 1 Academics

Identify Strategic Plan Objective related to Outcome 2

II.1.4 To provide timely and accurate advisement to each student through successful completion of degree.

Identify methods of assessment to be used

TRiO Annual REPORT (GPA)

Indicate when assessment will take place

Spring

Criteria/Benchmark

The TRiO Annual Report will indicate that at least 95% of TRiO students will be in good academic standing.

Outcome 3

○ Is this outcome related to writing (QEP)?

Program participants classified as seniors will graduate on or before the sixth year.

Identify Strategic Plan Goal related to Outcome 3

Goal 1 Academics

Identify Strategic Plan Objective related to Outcome 3

II.1.4. To provide timely and accurate advisement to each student through successful completion of degree.

Identify methods of assessment to be used

TRiO Annual REPORT (Graduation)

Indicate when assessment will take place Spring

Criteria/Benchmark

The TRiO Annual Report will indicate that 64% of program participants classified as seniors who are eligible to graduate will graduate.

Section II: Analysis of Results

When (term/date) was assessment conducted?

Outcome 1

Assessment was conducted on January 24, 2007.

Outcome 2

Assessment was conducted on January 24, 2007.

Outcome 3

Assessment was conducted on January 24, 2007.

What were the results attained (raw data)?

Outcome 1

Results indicate that 87.50% of students were retained at TAMIU which is above the target goal of 85%.

Outcome 2

Results indicate that 91.25% of students were in good academic standing slightly under the target. First-time freshman (11) struggling with work and academic commitments made-up 78% of students who were not in good academic standing.

Outcome 3

Results indicated that 85.71% of seniors who were eligible to graduate graduated in 6 years or less which is above the target goal of 64%.

Who (specify names) conducted analysis of data? Outcome 1 Leticia Valle and Aida Garza

Outcome 2 Leticia Valle and Aida Garza

Outcome 3 Leticia Valle and Aida Garza

When were the results and analysis shared and with whom (department chair, supervisor, staff, external stakeholders)? Submit minutes with data analysis to assessment@tamiu.edu (Please use Minutes Template located on the Project INTEGRATE web page.) Enter text here

NOTE: Submit all assessment documentation (i.e., surveys, rubrics, course exams with embedded questions, etc.) to the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning.

<u>Use of Results: Indicate whether criteria were met/not met and what changes, if any, have been identified based on the data collected</u>?

Outcome 1

Met Not Met

Provide narrative: As in previous years, all program participants will be required to meet with the Program Director or Academic Coordinator twice per month for academic advising and to monitor their academic progress. All freshman and transfer program participants enrolled in English, History, Math and Developmental Studies will be required to attend two hours of tutoring per week. All new program participants will also be required to attend research and technology workshops taught by program staff.

Outcome 2

Met Not Met

Provide narrative: This objective was not meet. Eighty-six percent of students who were not in good academic standing were first-time freshman struggling with work and academic commitments. TRiO will now require that all first-time freshman attend time, financial and stress management workshops as well as meeting with the Program Director or Academic Coordinator on a weekly basis.

Outcome 3 Met Not Met Provide narrative: Same as Outcome 1.

How have these data-based changes improved your program/unit?

Changes due to results will be implemented beginning February 1, 2007. These changes will help the program identify problem areas and intervine in a timely manner.

Section III: Programmatic Review

Are resources affected by the changes identified in Section II? Yes No

If so, specify the effect(s) using the chart below:

Funding	Physical	Other
New resources required	New or reallocated	Primarily faculty/staff
	space	time
Reallocation of current		University rule/procedure
funds		change only
		Other: Enter text here

<u>Provide a narrative description and justification for requested resources (include linkage to</u> <u>Strategic Plan)</u>

Enter text here

Identify proposed outcomes for the next assessment cycle:

Continuation of present outcome(s) – (Indicate reason for continuation):

The TRiO program will continue to assess the present outcomes which correspond to the objectives set by the U. S. Department of Education.

New Outcome(s) – (List outcomes below):

Enter text here

Modification of present outcome(s) – (Indicate reason for modification):

Enter text here

**** This section to be completed by dean/director/vice-president ****

Are resources requested a priority for the academic program/AES unit?

YesNoComments:Enter text here

If funding, physical or other resources were requested, what is the impact of the budget decisions on the academic program/AES unit? Enter text here