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Expanded Statement of Institutional Purpose Linkage:

Institutional Mission Reference:
Texas A&M International University, a Member of The Texas A&M University System, is committed to the preparation of students for leadership roles in their chosen profession and in increasingly complex, culturally diverse state, national, and global society ... Through instruction, faculty and student research, and public service, Texas A&M International University is a strategic point of delivery for well-defined programs and services that improve the quality of life for citizens of the border region, the State of Texas, and national and international communities.

College/University Goal(s) Supported:
To increase “(1) students’ ability to communicate through the use of the written and spoken word; (2) their knowledge and appreciation of culture, fine arts, social integration; and (3) self realization. The College [COAH] also prepares students for a variety of professions and roles by providing a broad-based liberals arts education.”

Intended Educational (Student) Outcomes:
1. Graduates who apply to doctoral programs will be successful in obtaining admission.

2. Graduates will have a knowledge of literature that compares favorably to that of graduates from similar programs in the nation.

3. Graduates will demonstrate their knowledge of literary theory and criticism and their skill in literary research through presenting papers at local and regional professional conferences and colloquia.
Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:

NOTE: There should be one form for each intended outcome listed. The intended outcome should be restated in the box immediately below and the intended outcome number entered in the blank spaces.

1. Graduates who apply to doctoral programs will be successful in obtaining admission.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

1a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
At least 80% of the graduates who apply for admission to doctoral programs in English or related fields (e.g., linguistics, composition and rhetoric, English education) will be accepted. Follow-up interviews will be used to collect data.

1a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
No students in the M.A. in English program graduated in the fall or spring of 2003. In anticipation of graduating in August, one student did begin the application process for admission to a doctoral program, but withdrew the application when graduation was postponed.

1a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
No action was taken.
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Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:
NOTE: There should be one form for each intended outcome listed. Intended outcome should be restated in the box immediately below and the intended outcome number entered in the blank spaces.

2. Graduates will have a knowledge of literature that compares favorably to that of graduates from similar programs in the nation.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:
2a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
Ninety percent of the graduates will score in the 70th percentile and above on nationally norm-referenced subject tests in English and literature (ACAT or MFAT).

2a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
Four graduate students and seventeen undergraduate senior English majors (14 B.A. in English and 3 B.A. in English with Secondary Certification) took the Major Field Assessment Examination (MFAT) published by ETS. The MFAT for Literature in English was revised in early 2003, and thus MFAT does not yet have national norms. Overall, the four graduate students scored an average of 156 (Scale: 120-200) and the undergraduates scored an average of 144.7 (Scale: 120-200). The 4 analytical categories producing sub-scores (Scale: 20-100) follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Graduate Av.</th>
<th>Undergraduate Av.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literature before 1900</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature after 1900</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literary Analysis</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literary History and Identification</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
Without national norms with which to compare the performance of our students, it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions about the meaning of the results that we have. These will have to await the emergence of patterns over a few years of testing. Nevertheless, some provisional conclusions seem justified – for this group of graduate students and undergraduate seniors, at least. First, the range of difference on sub-scores (53.5 to 58.5 for graduates and 46 to 48.1 for undergraduates) is sufficiently narrow to suggest that in the broadest divisions of our discipline our students do not have any stand-out weaknesses – or strengths. Second, our graduate students, as
expected, consistently outperformed graduating undergraduate students, though the gap between them is narrower than one would want. Until more data becomes available, no changes in the graduate program will be taken.

**Second Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:**

**2b. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:**
At least 80% of the graduates will pass on the first attempt all portions of the comprehensive exam given at the end of their course-work of the degree.

**2b. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:**
No student took comprehensive exams in English during 2003-2004.

**2b. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:**
No action was taken.
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Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:

NOTE: There should be one form for each intended outcome listed. Intended outcome should be restated in the box immediately below and the intended outcome number entered in the blank spaces.

3. Graduates will demonstrate their knowledge of literary theory and criticism and their skill in literary research through presenting papers at local and regional professional conferences and colloquia.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

3a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
At least 60% of program graduates by their graduation will have presented a scholarly paper or participated in a panel discussion at a local or regional professional conference or colloquia.

3a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
No students graduated from the program in 2003-2004.

3a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
No action possible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION/Special Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up Interviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>