Texas A&M International University Annual Institutional Effectiveness Review (AIER) for Academic Programs

Program: Bachelors of Applied Arts & Sciences

Assessment Period Covered: March 1, 2008 to January 31, 2009

Program Coordinator (Preparer of Report): Jaclyn Jeffrey

List Other Program Faculty:

The Annual Institutional Effectiveness Review for Academic Programs is directed at Goal 1: Academics of the Texas A&M International University 2006-2010 Strategic Plan:

Develop, maintain, assess, and improve academic programs, administrative/educational support services and student services, to admit, retain, and graduate students who achieve established learning outcomes designed to prepare them for success in their chosen careers.

Institutional Mission

Texas A&M International University, a Member of The Texas A&M University System, prepares students for leadership roles in their chosen profession in an increasingly complex, culturally diverse state, national, and global society ... Through instruction, faculty and student research, and public service, Texas A&M International University embodies a strategic point of delivery for well-defined programs and services that improve the quality of life for citizens of the border region, the State of Texas, and national and international communities.

Academic Program Mission

The Bachelor of Arts in Applied Arts and Science (BAAS) is an interdisciplinary degree designed to provide academic depth to individuals who possess recognized competency in an occupational or technical field. The degree plan seeks to develop student ability to advance in their chosen profession through increased proficiency in thinking critically and through an enhanced understanding of the world that a liberal arts education provides.

Provide summary of the last cycle's use of results and changes implemented

Program faculty should evaluate the former cycle. This statement should specify if the outcomes addressed were a continuation of previous ones, new outcomes, or modified versions of previous outcomes. In addition, the statement should include a concise analysis of the assessment data collected during the previous year, a brief explanation of actions taken to address specific outcomes, an evaluation of how these actions contributed to the improvement of the program, and any recommendations formulated. Assessment data—including actual samples of student work—must be viewed and discussed by program faculty during this process.

Since the BAAS curriculum was altered considerably last spring, due in large part to what we learned from assessment, at this point we have nothing to assess.

Selected list of program-level intended student learning outcomes *It is recommended that programs rotate through their entire set of outcomes over a multi-year period. Programs may focus on one or two outcomes each year, as deemed appropriate.*

- **1.** BAAS graduates should demonstrate the ability to think critically.
- 2. Graduates will be better prepared for advancement in their chosen field.
- **3.** Graduates will synthesize and assess their college education.

Section I: Planning and Implementation

Outcome(s): *Identify the outcome(s) that will be focused upon this year.*

Graduates will be better prepared for advancement in their chosen field.

X Please indicate if the outcome(s) is (are) related to writing (QEP).

Methods of assessment to be used: The explanation should identify and describe the type of assessment(s) that will be used (e.g., survey, questionnaire, observation instrument, test, rubric to evaluate performance, standardized examination, action research, interviews, etc.), who will provide the information, and how the data will be obtained.

Seniors will be required to conduct an interview with a person already working in their chosen field and to submit a report based on that interview.

Indicate when assessment(s) will take place

Fall 2008

Criteria/Benchmark(s): *Specify, if deemed appropriate to assess outcome(s). Criteria/benchmark(s) may be optional, especially if qualitative measures are used for data collection.*

The interview report must receive a grade of C or better.

Section II: Analysis of Results

What were the results attained? Describe the primary results or findings from your analysis of the information collected. This section should include an explanation of any strength(s) or weakness(es) of the program suggested by the results.

None obtained this year.

What were the conclusions reached? Should include a brief description of the procedure used for reaching the conclusion(s) based on the evidence collected and describe the process used to disseminate the information to other individuals. For example, if the discussion took place during the annual spring retreat, include a summary from those deliberations using the Meeting Minutes template found on the Project Integrate web page at http://www.tamiu.edu/integrate/docs/Minutes-Template.doc.

None this year
Describe the action plan formulated. (The plan may be multi-year in nature.) Based on the conclusion(s), describe the action plan to be implemented to improve or maintain student learning, including a timeline for implementation.
None at this time
Section III: Resources
Resource(s) to implement action plan: Describe the resources that will be needed to implement the action plan. Also indicate if the resources are currently available, or if additional funds will be needed to obtain these resources.
Funding ☐ New Resources Required ☐ Reallocation of current funds
Physical New or reallocated space
Other Primarily faculty/staff time University/rule procedure change only
Provide a narrative description and justification for requested resources (include linkage to Strategic Plan)
n/a
Identify proposed outcomes for the next assessment cycle:
$Continuation\ of\ present\ outcome(s)-(Indicate\ reason\ for\ continuation):$
n/a
New Outcome(s) – (List outcomes below):
n/a
$Modification\ of\ present\ outcome(s)-(Indicate\ reason\ for\ modification):$

Once completed, submit the minutes to <u>assessment @tamiu.edu</u>.

n/a