Texas A&M International University Annual Institutional Effectiveness Review (AIER) for Academic Programs

Program: Mas	er of Arts in Spanish		
Assessment Pe	riod Covered: January 1, 2009 to January 31, 2010		
Program Coor	dinator (Preparer of Report) Dr. José Cardona-López _		
	List Other Program Faculty:		
	Dr. Irma Cantú		
	Dr. Agustín Matínez-Samos		
	Ms. Lola Norris		
Reviewed by C	hair: Name	Date	
Reviewed by D	ean: Name	Date	

The Annual Institutional Effectiveness Review for Academic Programs is directed at Goal 1: Academics of the Texas A&M International University 2006-2010 Strategic Plan:

Develop, maintain, assess, and improve academic programs, administrative/educational support services and student services, to admit, retain, and graduate students who achieve established learning outcomes designed to prepare them for success in their chosen careers.

Institutional Mission

Texas A&M International University, a Member of The Texas A&M University System, prepares students for leadership roles in their chosen profession in an increasingly complex, culturally diverse state, national, and global society ... Through instruction, faculty and student research, and public service, Texas A&M International University embodies a strategic point of delivery for well-defined programs and services that improve the quality of life for citizens of the border region, the State of Texas, and national and international communities.

Academic Program Mission

In unison with the institutional mission, this Department is dedicated to the promotion of intellectual and personal growth in students, with an emphasis on endowing them with flexibility to adapt to the everchanging social, professional, economic, cultural, and political environments ushered in by this era of rapid technological change, information proliferation, and global interdependence. To achieve these aims, the Department is committed to the retention of a productive, professionally diverse and highly competent faculty involved in a wide range of academic endeavors.

Provide summary of the last cycle's use of results and changes implemented

Program faculty should evaluate the former cycle. This statement should specify if the outcomes addressed were a continuation of previous ones, new outcomes, or modified versions of previous outcomes. In addition, the statement should include a concise analysis of the assessment data collected

during the previous year, a brief explanation of actions taken to address specific outcomes, an evaluation of how these actions contributed to the improvement of the program, and any recommendations formulated. Assessment data—including actual samples of student work—must be viewed and discussed by program faculty during this process.

The MA Spanish program continued providing student with a strong knowledge on literary devices in analyzing both Spanish and Spanish American Lit. Under this main consideration that supports the identified outcome for last cycle, and following the method of assessment, seven students submitted research papers and 86.0% of them were evaluated as "excellent" or "competent" by Spanish Faculty according to the Department rubric.

Tenured and Tenured-Track Full-Time Spanish faculty met on February 5, 2009 to evaluate the research papers submitted by the seven MA Spanish students. Subsequently, Spanish faculty met with Dr. Broncano, Chair, Department of Language and Literature to share results. The MA Spanish program considers these results very satisfactory because the benchmark has been exceeded.

The good result attained during last cycle has been used as one of the main factors to increase the promotion of our MA in Spanish among TAMIU's students.

Selected list of program-level intended student learning outcomes (It is recommended that programs rotate through their entire set of outcomes over a multi-year period. Programs may focus on one or two outcomes each year, as deemed appropriate).

1. Students will be able to substantiate claims of aesthetic values in regards to works of Spanish
or Spanish American literature through analysis and synthesis of literary genres, considering
period and cultural context.
2.
3.
4.

Section I: Planning and Implementation

Outcome(s)

5.

Students will be able to substantiate claims of aesthetic values in regards to works of Spanish or Spanish American literature through analysis and synthesis of literary genres, considering period and cultural context.

Please indicate if the outcome	6) is ((are	related	to	writing	(OEP	١
I lease mulcate if the outcome	(5	<i>)</i> 15 ((ai c	j i ciateu	w	writing	(QEI	<i>j</i> .

Methods of assessment to be used: The explanation should identify and describe the type of assessment(s) that will be used (e.g., survey, questionnaire, observation instrument, test, rubric to evaluate performance, standardized examination, action research, interviews, etc.), who will provide the information, and how the data will be obtained.

A portfolio of papers written for graduate Spanish courses will be collected by the Graduate Spanish advisor from each student completing at least the first year of the program. The papers will be evaluated by a team of graduate Spanish faculty using a departmentally developed rubric.

Indicate when assessment(s) will take place

annual

Criteria/Benchmark(s): [Specify, if deemed appropriate to assess outcome(s). Criteria/benchmark(s) may be optional, especially if qualitative measures are used for data collection.]

At least 80% of the students evaluated will have an average score from "competent" to "excellent" on each of the evaluation criteria on the rubric related to their demonstrated ability to synthesize and evaluate their knowledge of literary theory and literary criticism.

Section II: Analysis of Results

What were the results attained?

Describe the primary results or findings from your analysis of the information collected. This section should include an explanation of any strength(s) or weakness(es) of the program suggested by the results.

From a group of thirteen students, eight of them completed the first year of program. They submitted research papers and 100 % of them were evaluated as "excellent" or "competent" by Spanish Faculty according to the Department rubric.

The MA Spanish program provides student with a strong knowledge on literary devices in analyzing both Spanish and Spanish American Lit.

What were the conclusions reached?

Should include a brief description of the procedure used for reaching the conclusion(s) based on the evidence collected and describe the process used to disseminate the information to other individuals. For example, if the discussion took place during the annual spring retreat, include a summary from those deliberations using the Meeting Minutes template found on the Project Integrate web page at

http://www.tamiu.edu/integrate/docs/Minutes-Template.doc. Once completed, submit the minutes to assessment @tamiu.edu.

Tenured and Tenured-Track Full-Time Spanish faculty met on February 25, 2010 to evaluate the research papers submitted by the eight MA Spanish students. Subsequently, Spanish faculty met with Dr. Broncano, Chair of the Department of Language and Literature, to share results. The MA Spanish program considers these results very satisfactory because the benchmark has been exceeded.

Describe the action plan formulated. (The plan may be multi-year in nature.)

Based on the conclusion(s), describe the action plan to be implemented to improve or maintain student learning, including a timeline for implementation.

Based on the great success of the 2009 assessment, with a 100% of effectiveness, the MA program in Spanish should continue with the identified Outcome because it is a goal directed toward the best results.

Section III: Resources

Resource(s) to implement action plan:

Describe the resources that will be needed to implement the action plan. Also indicate if the resources are

currently available, or if additional funds will be needed to obtain these resources.
Funding
□ New Resources Required
□ Reallocation of current funds
Physical
□ New or reallocated space
Other
☐ Primarily faculty/staff time
☐ University/rule procedure change only
Provide a narrative description and justification for requested resources (include li

nkage to Strategic Plan)

Enter text here

Identify proposed outcomes for the next assessment cycle:

Continuation of present outcome(s) – (Indicate reason for continuation):

Enter text here	
New Outcome(s) – (List outcomes below):	
Enter text here	
$Modification \ of \ present \ outcome(s)-(Indicate \ reason \ for \ modification):$	
Enter text here	
Date Completed:	
Submit completed form to integrate@tamiu.edu.	Updated 09/03/2009