Texas A&M International University Annual Institutional Effectiveness Review (AIER)

Date Submitted January 30, 2008

Assessment Period Covered (2007)

Academic Program/AES Unit MBA

Person(s) Preparing Review Milton & Jacqueline Mayfield

Provide summary of the last cycle's use of results and changes implemented

New evaluation instrument was deemed suitable, and results are to be used as a base line for 2008 evaluation cycle. Increased resources (faculty, instructors, and staff) have been requested to better meet student learning goals. New business communication professor hired to help improve communication courses.

Section I: Planning and Implementation

Institutional Mission

Texas A&M International University, a Member of The Texas A&M University System, prepares students for leadership roles in their chosen profession in an increasingly complex, culturally diverse state, national, and global society ... Through instruction, faculty and student research, and public service, Texas A&M International University embodies a strategic point of delivery for well-defined programs and services that improve the quality of life for citizens of the border region, the State of Texas, and national and international communities.

Academic Program or Administrative/Educational Support Unit Mission

"The mission of the DIBTS is to prepare students for professional positions in the fields of general business, management, marketing, and international business."

Identify outcomes and the relationship to Strategic Plan

Identify Strategic Plan Goal related to Outcome 1

Identify Strategic Plan Objective related to Outcome 1

1"II.2.12 Strategy: Provide quality instruction to prepare graduates for leadership roles in their chosen profession."

Internally generated assessment knowledge test to be administered to graduating students in MBA capstone course.

Indicate when assessment will take place

Criteria/Benchmark

Creation of scores for use as a benchmark. Students should do as well or better than in this assessment period in future assessment periods.

Outcome 2

☐ Is this outcome related to writing (QEP)?

MBA students will have a basic knowledge of all business areas.

Identify Strategic Plan Goal related to Outcome 2

Identify Strategic Plan Objective related to Outcome 2

2"II.2.12 Strategy: Provide quality instruction to prepare graduates for leadership roles in their chosen profession."

Identify methods of assessment to be used

Internally generated assessment knowledge test to be administered to graduating students in MBA capstone course.

Indicate when assessment will take place

Criteria/Benchmark

Creation of scores for use as a benchmark. Students should do as well or better than in this assessment period in future assessment periods.

Outcome 3

☐ Is this outcome related to writing (QEP)?

Students graduating with an MBA are expected to have confidence in their business abilities.

Identify Strategic Plan Goal related to Outcome 3

Identify Strategic Plan Objective related to Outcome 3

3"II.2.12 Strategy: Provide quality instruction to prepare graduates for leadership roles in their chosen profession."

Identify methods of assessment to be used In-house developed opinion survey.

Indicate when assessment will take place

Criteria/Benchmark

75% (or more) of the reports will be considered acceptable.

Section II: Analysis of Results

When (term/date) was assessment conducted?

Outcome 1

Sping and Fall 2007

Outcome 2

Sping and Fall 2007

Outcome 3

Sping and Fall 2007

What were the results attained (raw data)?

Outcome 1

Average score across all areas was 83% correct.

Outcome 2

Area scores was as follows:

Economics - 89% Accounting - 86% Finance - 80% Management - 80%

Marketing - 56%

MIS - 83%

Outcome 3

Score was 2.17 out of 5.00.

Who (specify names) conducted analysis of data?

Outcome 1

Milton & Jacqueline Mayfield

Outcome 2

Milton & Jacqueline Mayfield

Outcome 3

Milton & Jacqueline Mayfield

When were the results and analysis shared and with whom (department chair, supervisor, staff, external stakeholders)? Submit minutes with data analysis to assessment@tamiu.edu (Please use Minutes Template located on the Project INTEGRATE web page.)

Analysis results were presented to Division faculty at the DIBTS January meeting.

NOTE: Submit all assessment documentation (i.e., surveys, rubrics, course exams with embedded questions, etc.) to the Office of Institutional Effectiveness and Planning.

Use of Results: Indicate whether criteria were met/not met and what changes, if any, have been identified based on the data collected? Outcome 1 \bowtie Met \square Not Met Provide narrative: Benchmark score was set. Outcome 2 \bowtie Met \square Not Met Provide narrative: Benchmark score was set. Outcome 3 \bowtie Met \square Not Met Provide narrative: Benchmark score was set. How have these data-based changes improved your program/unit? Section III: Programmatic Review Are resources affected by the changes identified in Section II? Yes ☐ No If so, specify the effect(s) using the chart below: Physical Other Funding New or reallocated Primarily faculty/staff time New resources required space Reallocation of current University rule/procedure funds change only Other: Enter text here

<u>Provide a narrative description and justification for requested resources (include linkage to Strategic Plan)</u>

Enter text here

Identify proposed outcomes for the next assessment cycle:
Continuation of present outcome(s) – (Indicate reason for continuation):
Assessment will continue since it seems adequate for advancement purposes.
New Outcome(s) – (List outcomes below):
Enter text here
Modification of present outcome(s) – (Indicate reason for modification):
Enter text here
**** This section to be completed by dean/director/vice-president ****
Are resources requested a priority for the academic program/AES unit?
☐ Yes ☐ No
Comments:
Enter text here
If funding, physical or other resources were requested, what is the impact of the budget decisions on the academic program/AES unit?

Enter text here