National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with:

						Texas A&M								
					TAMIU	System		Carnegie Peers		eers	NSSE 2		2007	
		Variable	Bench- mark	Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	Mean a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	Mean a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c
1. <u>/</u>	Academic and Intellectual Experiences				In your experience at you the following? 1=never, 2					ear, abou	t how ofte	n have you	done eaci	h of
a	Asked questions in class or contributed to class	CLQUEST	ACL	FY	2.65	2.49		.18	2.82		21	2.74		12
a	discussions	CLQCLS1	TICE	SR	3.02	2.93		.10	3.16	*	16	3.03		01
b	Made a class presentation	CLPRESEN	ACL	FY	2.71	2.02	***	.81	2.32	***	.48	2.21	***	.63
Ü	. Wrade a class presentation	CEIRESEIV	TICE	SR	3.25	2.75	***	.56	2.88	***	.42	2.77	***	.56
c	Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in	REWROPAP		FY	3.20	2.34	***	.86	2.75	***	.47	2.64	***	.57
C		KEWKOI AI		SR	2.90	2.51	***	.40	2.56	***	.35	2.47	***	.44
d	Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or information from	INTEGRAT		FY	3.32	2.80	***	.59	3.06	**	.33	3.03	**	.36
	various sources			SR	3.32	3.25		.09	3.34		04	3.29		.03
e	Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions, genders, political beliefs, etc.) in class	DIVCLASS		FY	2.90	2.52	***	.42	2.71		.22	2.76		.17
	discussions or writing assignments			SR	2.87	2.69	**	.20	2.83		.04	2.80		.08
f	Come to class without completing readings or assignments	CLUNPREP		FY	2.10	2.14		06	1.96		.19	2.02		.10
-				SR	2.02	2.16	**	19	2.01		.01	2.11		12
g	Worked with other students on projects during	CLASSGRP	ACL	FY	2.38	2.30		.09	2.46		09	2.40		02
5	class	CLISSOR	nel	SR	2.72	2.52	**	.22	2.57	*	.17	2.52	***	.23
h	Worked with classmates outside of class to	OCCGRP	ACL	FY	2.57	2.57		.00	2.39		.21	2.38		.22
	prepare class assignments	оссон	TICE	SR	3.05	2.90	*	.17	2.74	***	.34	2.75	***	.34
i	1 6 6 6 6	INTIDEAS		FY	2.56	2.51		.06	2.56		.01	2.57		.00
	class discussions			SR	3.09	2.89	***	.24	2.91	**	.22	2.90	**	.23
j.	Tutored or taught other students	TUTOR	ACL	FY	1.81	1.85		04	1.66		.18	1.69		.15
-	(paid or voluntary)			SR	2.04	2.01		.04	1.88	*	.17	1.88	*	.17
k	Participated in a community-based project (e.g. service learning) as part of a regular course	COMMPROJ	ACL	FY	1.67 1.89	1.59 1.73	*	.10 .18	1.56 1.79		.14	1.52	**	.19
	6/ ···· I ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			SR	1.09	1./3	**	.10	1./9		.12	1.69		.23

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with:

`					TAMIU	Texas A&M System		Carnegie Peers			NSSE 2007			
		Variable	Bench- mark	Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size c
1.	Used an electronic medium (listserv, chat group, Internet, instant messaging, etc.) to discuss or	ITACADEM	EEE	FY	2.71	2.62		.09	2.56		.15	2.61		.10
	complete an assignment			SR	3.00	2.87		.13	2.84	*	.16	2.83	*	.17
m.	Used e-mail to communicate with an instructor	EMAIL		FY	3.07	2.94		.16	2.99		.09	3.06		.01
				SR	3.50	3.24	***	.33	3.30	***	.24	3.33	**	.22
n.	Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor	FACGRADE	SFI	FY	2.67	2.55		.15	2.61		.08	2.57		.12
				SR	2.94	2.84		.12	2.86		.10	2.79	*	.17
0.	Talked about career plans with a faculty member	FACPLANS	SFI	FY	2.15	2.18		03	2.22		08	2.14		.02
	or advisor			SR	2.39	2.47		08	2.49		10	2.39		.00
p.	Discussed ideas from your readings or classes	FACIDEAS	SFI	FY	2.05	1.81		.27	1.88		.20	1.84		.25
	with faculty members outside of class			SR	2.29	2.06	**	.26	2.15	*	.16	2.08	**	.23
q.	Received prompt written or oral feedback from	FACFEED	SFI	FY	2.49	2.43		.07	2.59		13	2.59		12
	faculty on your academic performance			SR	2.90	2.74	**	.20	2.83		.09	2.75	*	.18
r.	Worked harder than you thought you could to meet	WORKHARD	LAC	FY	2.92	2.59	**	.40	2.64	**	.34	2.60	**	.39
	an instructor's standards or expectations			SR	2.98	2.76	***	.26	2.77	***	.24	2.69	***	.34
s.	Worked with faculty members on activities other than coursework (committees, orientation, student	FACOTHER	SFI	FY	1.79	1.59		.23	1.63		.18	1.59	*	.24
5.	life activities, etc.)	meomen	511	SR	1.95	1.85		.10	1.86		.09	1.81	*	.15
	Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with				2.06	2.65		24	2.66		2.4	2.66	4	24
t.	others outside of class (students, family members, co-workers, etc.)	OOCIDEAS	ACL	FY	2.86 3.08	2.65 2.83	***	.24 .29	2.66 2.84	***	.24 .29	2.66 2.83	*	.24
				SR								-		.30
u.	Had serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity than your own	DIVRSTUD	EEE	FY	2.17	2.54	**	37	2.45	***	28	2.57	***	39
	Had serious conversations with students who are			SR	2.21	2.69	4.4.4.	49	2.55	4444	34	2.66		45
v.	very different from you in terms of their religious	DIFFSTU2	EEE	FY	2.40	2.66	*	27	2.55		15	2.68	*	29
	beliefs, political opinions, or personal values			SR	2.33	2.73	***	42	2.63	***	31	2.71	***	40

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.



NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with: Texas A&M **TAMIU Carnegie Peers NSSE 2007** System Effect Effect Bench-Effect Mean a Sig b Size c Mean a Size c Mean a Size c Variable mark Class During the current school year, how much has your coursework emphasized the following mental activities? 2. Mental Activities 1=very little, 2=some, 3=quite a bit, 4=very much Memorizing facts, ideas, or methods from your FY 2.93 2.87 .06 2.89 .05 2.88 .06 a. courses and readings so you can repeat them in MEMORIZE pretty much the same form 2.80 2.81 -.01 2.76 .04 2.75 .06 SR **Analyzing** the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory, such as examining a ANALYZE LAC 3.02 .09 3.09 3.03 .07 3.07 .02 FY particular case or situation in depth and considering its components 3.33 3.17 .21 3.23 .14 3.23 SR .14 Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or 3.12 .36 .32 FY 2.78 ** .40 2.82 2.85 c. experiences into new, more complex interpretations **SYNTHESZ** LAC and relationships 3.15 3.00 .18 3.05 .12 3.03 .15 SR Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods, such as **EVALUATE** LAC ** 3.15 2.84 .36 2.84 .37 2.84 ** .36 FY examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their 3.18 2.94 .27 3.00 .21 2.96 .25 SR .07 .09 Applying theories or concepts to practical FY 3.06 3.00 2.98 3.01 .07 LAC APPLYING problems or in new situations 3.24 3.18 .08 3.21 .04 3.18 .07 SR During the current school year, about how much reading and writing have you done? 3. Reading and Writing 1=none, 2=1-4, 3=5-10, 4=11-20, 5=more than 20 Number of assigned textbooks, books, or .07 3.11 .00. 3.20 -.10 FY 3.10 3.04 READASGN LAC book-length packs of course readings SR 2.99 2.90 .09 3.10 -.12 3.13 -.14 Number of books read on your own (not assigned) 1.87 -.09 2.03 -.18 2.02 1.95 -.17 FY READOWN for personal enjoyment or academic enrichment SR 2.16 2.15 .01 2.15 .01 2.17 -.01 .09 -.01 Number of written papers or reports of **20 pages or** 1.27 1.22 1.28 1.24 .05 FY WRITEMOR LAC c. more SR 1.70 1.59 .14 1.62 .11 1.62 .10 Number of written papers or reports between 5 2.27 1.92 *** .42 2.19 .09 2.25 .02 FY WRITEMID LAC and 19 pages 2.32 SR 2.41 .10 2.55 -.15 2.55 -.15 * -.15 Number of written papers or reports of **fewer than** 2.86 2.60 .27 3.02 3.03 -.16 FY WRITESML LAC 5 pages 2.59 2.78 -.17 2.98 *** -.33 2.97 *** -.32

SR

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.



NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

									TAMIU d	compare	ed with:				
					TAMIU		Texas A&M System Effect			Carnegie Peers			SE 200		
		Variable	Bench- mark	Class	Mean ^a	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig b	Effect Size ^c	
4.	Problem Sets				In a typical week, how mo 1=none, 2=1-2, 3=3-4, 4				o you comp	olete?					
	Number of problem sets that take you more than an	PROBSETA		FY	2.91	2.66		.21	2.64		.25	2.64		.25	
· ·	hour to complete			SR	2.86	2.64	**	.18	2.61	**	.21	2.58	***	.23	
1	Number of problem sets that take you less than an	PROBSETB		FY	2.53	2.61		07	2.78		21	2.72		16	
	hour to complete	TROBSETS		SR	2.37	2.32		.04	2.43		05	2.32		.04	
5.	Examinations				1=very little to 7=very m	uch									
	Mark the box that best represents the extent to	F37.43.60		FY	5.43	5.55		11	5.41		.01	5.42		.01	
	which your examinations during the current school year challenged you to do your best work.	EXAMS		SR	5.85	5.52	***	.27	5.47	***	.30	5.40	***	.36	
				SK	During the current schoo	l year, abo	out how o	ften have j							
0	Additional Collegiate Experiences				1=never, 2=sometimes, 3		every ofte		216			2.10			
;	Attended an art exhibit, play, dance, music, theatre or other performance	ATDART07		FY	2.29	2.12	***	.19	2.16	***	.14	2.19	*	.11	
				SR	2.23	1.93	***	.36	2.00	***	.26	2.07	* 	.18	
1	Participated in activities to enhance your	EXRCSE05		FY	2.70	2.94		25	2.73		03	2.77		07	
				SR	2.29	2.65	***	35	2.59	***	29	2.66	***	36	
		WORSHP05		FY	1.76	2.36	***	54	2.16	**	35	2.07	*	28	
	spirituality (worship, meditation, prayer, etc.)			SR	1.95	2.40	***	39	2.26	***	27	2.14	*	17	
	Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your	OWNVIEW		FY	2.49	2.56		08	2.53		04	2.56		07	
	own views on a topic or issue			SR	2.40	2.67	***	30	2.70	***	33	2.68	***	31	
	Tried to better understand someone else's views by	OTHDUTTU		FY	2.81	2.71		.12	2.69		.13	2.72		.10	
(e. imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective	OTHRVIEW		SR	2.74	2.71		04	2.82		09	2.72		08	
	I earned something that changed the way you			FY	2.74	2.75		.25	$\frac{2.82}{2.77}$.21	$\frac{2.82}{2.79}$.19	
1	understand an issue or concept	CHNGVIEW		SR	2.88	2.81		.08	2.86		.02	2.86		.02	
	•				Which of the following ho										
7.	Enriching Educational Experiences				(Recoded: 0=have not de responding "done" among				to do; 1=0	lone. Thu	s, the mea	n is the pro	portion		
·• <u>-</u>	Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op			FY	.04	.09	. esponae	17	.08		12	.07		11	
;	experience, or clinical assignment	INTERN04	EEE	SR	.47	.50		04	.52		09	.53		11	
	a w			510	. 7/	.50		.07			.07				

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with: Texas A&M **TAMIU Carnegie Peers NSSE 2007 System** Effect Bench-Effect Effect Sig b Sig b Mean a Mean a Sig b Size c Mean a Size c Mean a Size c Variable mark Class ** .52 -.35 .38 -.07 .38 -.06 FY .35 Community service or volunteer work VOLNTR04 EEE .60 .65 -.09 .58 .06 .59 .03 SR Participate in a learning community or some other .25 .21 .11 .27 .17 .23 .16 formal program where groups of students take two FY LRNCOM04 EEE or more classes together .27 .30 -.05 .01 .25 .05 .27 SR Work on a research project with a faculty member .04 .30 .05 .12 .36 .05 .31 RESRCH04 SFI FY outside of course or program requirements SR .19 .18 .01 .17 .04 .19 -.01 * .12 .10 .09 .18 -.15 .22 -.24 FY Foreign language coursework EEE FORLNG04 ** .32 .26 .14 .33 -.03 .41 -.19 SR *** *** .00 -.19 .04 .03 *** .03 -.19 -.17 FY Study abroad EEE STDABR04 *** SR .05 .10 -.19 .12 -.22 .14 -.27 .07 .05 .11 .05 .12 .03 .20 FY Independent study or self-designed major INDSTD04 EEE .04 .16 .15 .19 -.07 .17 -.04 SR .57 .34 Culminating senior experience (capstone course, .07 .01 .02 .02 .40 FY SNRX04 EEE senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.) -.17 .23 .28 .30 .32 SR -.11 -.20 Mark the box that best represents the quality of your relationships with people at your institution. 8. Quality of Relationships 1=unfriendly, unsupportive, sense of alienation to 7=friendly, supportive, sense of belonging .01 FY 5.76 5.75 5.60 .12 5.53 .17 Relationships with other students **ENVSTU SCE** 6.01 5.87 .11 5.76 .20 5.62 SR .29 1=unavailable, unhelpful, unsympathetic to 7=available, helpful, sympathetic .04 5.19 .17 FY 5.41 5.12 .21 5.35 SCE Relationships with faculty members **ENVFAC** 5.72 5.50 .18 5.65 .06 5.41 .23 SR 1=unhelpful, inconsiderate, rigid to 7=helpful, considerate, flexible Relationships with administrative personnel and .20 4.77 * .26 FY 5.04 4.73 .16 4.64 **ENVADM** SCE offices 5.07 4.90 .18 SR .11 4.78 4.54 .32

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with: Texas A&M **TAMIU NSSE 2007** System **Carnegie Peers** Bench-Effect Effect Effect Mean a Sig b Size Size c Size ' Variable mark Class About how many hours do you spend in a typical 7-day week doing each of the following? $1=0 \text{ hrs/wk}, 2=1-5 \text{ hrs/wk}, 3=6-10 \text{ hrs/wk}, 4=11-15 \text{ hrs/wk}, 5=16-20 \text{ hrs/wk}, 6=21-25 \text{ hrs/wk}, 7=26-30 \text{$ 9. Time Usage 8=more than 30 hrs/wk Preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, 3.68 4.16 -.28 3.86 -.11 4.01 -.20 doing homework or lab work, analyzing data, FY ACADPR01 LAC rehearsing, and other academic activities) 3.92 3.99 -.04 4.01 -.06 4.09 SR -.10 1.84 1.50 .26 1.57 .21 1.57 .21 FY Working for pay on campus WORKON01 2.05 1.99 .03 1.82 .14 1.84 .13 SR 2.33 1.93 .21 2.99 -.25 2.41 -.04 FY Working for pay **off campus** WORKOF01 4.21 3.46 .26 .04 3.79 SR 4.10 .15 Participating in co-curricular activities (organizations, campus publications, student COCURR01 2.23 2.71 -.28 2.16 .04 2.24 -.01 EEE FY government, fraternity or sorority, intercollegiate or intramural sports, etc.) 1.90 2.28 1.99 2.07 -.23 -.06 -.11 SR Relaxing and socializing (watching TV, 3.20 3.62 * -.26 3.62 -.25 3.79 *** -.35 FY SOCIAL05 partying, etc.) 2.96 3.40 *** -.28 3.32 -.24 3.49 *** -.34 SR Providing care for dependents living with you 2.35 1.41 *** .81 2.21 .07 1.72 ** .39 FY CAREDE01 (parents, children, spouse, etc.) 4.06 2.59 *** .58 2.87 2.38 .73 SR .46 2.54 * .27 2.37 .16 2.23 .28 2.25 FY Commuting to class (driving, walking, etc.) COMMUTE 2.38 SR 2.82 .44 2.34 .43 2.38 *** .43 To what extent does your institution emphasize each of the following? 10. Institutional Environment 1=very little, 2=some, 3=quite a bit, 4=very much Spending significant amounts of time studying and * * FY 3.29 3.17 .15 3.09 .26 3.09 .26 **ENVSCHOL** LAC on academic work 3.10 SR 3.20 .13 3.11 .12 3.08 .15 Providing the support you need to help you .25 * FY 3.25 3.06 .24 3.05 3.02 .29 **ENVSUPRT** SCE succeed academically 3.16 2.96 .25 2.98 .21 2.87 SR .34 .22 Encouraging contact among students from different 2.83 2.67 .17 2.63 .21 2.63 FY **ENVDIVRS** EEE economic, social, and racial or ethnic backgrounds 2.61 2.56 .05 2.51 .10 2.44 .17 SR

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with: Texas A&M **Carnegie Peers TAMIU NSSE 2007 System** Effect Effect Bench-Effect Sig b Sig b Mean a Mean a Size c Mean a Sig b Size c Mean a VariablemarkClass Helping you cope with your non-academic * ** 2.54 2.28 .28 2.24 .31 2.16 FY **ENVNACAD** SCE responsibilities (work, family, etc.) 2.27 2.07 .21 *** SR 2.04 .24 1.91 .40 2.84 2.55 .31 2.43 *** .44 2.41 *** .46 FY e. Providing the support you need to thrive socially **ENVSOCAL** SCE *** 2.47 2.37 .11 2.25 .24 2.17 .33 SR Attending campus events and activities (special 2.98 -.20 2.73 2.79 .01 speakers, cultural performances, athletic FY 2.80 .08 **ENVEVENT** 2.70 2.71 -.01 2.57 2.58 events, etc.) SR .13 .13 3.42 3.35 .10 3.28 .17 3.32 .13 FY Using computers in academic work **ENVCOMPT** 3.49 3.48 .02 3.44 .06 3.47 .03 SR To what extent has your experience at this institution contributed to your knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas? 11. Educational and Personal Growth 1=very little, 2=some, 3=quite a bit, 4=very much

11. E	ducational and Personal Growth			1=very little, 2=some, 3	=quite a bi	t, 4=very	much						
a.	Acquiring a broad general education	GNGENLED	FY	3.12	3.12		.01	3.13		.00	3.13		.00
u.		GIVOLIVELD	SR	3.40	3.26	*	.18	3.28	*	.16	3.24	**	.20
b.	Acquiring job or work-related knowledge and skills	GNWORK	FY	2.82	2.81		.01	2.79		.03	2.73		.09
0.			SR	3.18	3.17		.01	3.13		.06	3.02	*	.17
c.	Writing clearly and effectively	GNWRITE	FY	3.18	2.73	***	.50	3.02		.19	2.95		.26
٠.		Grandin	SR	3.35	3.01	***	.39	3.15	**	.24	3.06	***	.33
d.	Speaking clearly and effectively	GNSPEAK	FY	3.21	2.69	***	.55	2.89	**	.36	2.76	***	.50
-			SR	3.31	2.96	***	.39	3.07	***	.28	2.95	***	.40
e.	Thinking critically and analytically	GNANALY	FY	3.31	3.19		.15	3.17		.18	3.17		.18
			SR	3.53	3.34	***	.25	3.36	***	.23	3.33	***	.26
f.	Analyzing quantitative problems	GNQUANT	FY	3.27	2.99	*	.32	2.89	**	.44	2.89	**	.43
			SR	3.34	3.13	**	.24	3.07	***	.31	3.04	***	.34
g.	Using computing and information technology	GNCMPTS	FY	3.24	3.11		.15	3.05		.22	3.01		.26
8.	Osing computing and information technology		SR	3.50	3.29	***	.25	3.22	***	.33	3.20	***	.35
h.	Working effectively with others	GNOTHERS	FY	3.11	3.03		.10	2.99		.14	2.93		.21
11.	working encenvery with others		SR	3.47	3.24	***	.27	3.20	***	.32	3.12	***	.40

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

National Survey of Student Engagement

NSSE 2007 Mean Comparisons Texas A&M International University

TAMIU compared with: Texas A&M **TAMIU Carnegie Peers NSSE 2007 System** Effect Bench-Effect Effect Mean a Sig b Mean a Mean a Sig b Size Sig b Size c Mean a Size c Variable mark Class * * 2.27 .17 2.04 .39 2.05 FY 2.44 .38 Voting in local, state, or national elections **GNCITIZN** *** 2.71 2.18 *** .51 2.10 *** .58 2.06 .64 SR 3.25 2.98 * .30 2.89 ** .42 2.88 ** .42 FY Learning effectively on your own **GNINO** 3.36 3.09 3.02 .31 .38 3.00 .40 SR * 3.14 2.84 .31 2.77 .38 2.73 ** .42 FY Understanding yourself **GNSELF** 3.21 2.87 *** .35 2.83 *** .38 2.78 *** .44 SR Understanding people of other racial and ethnic 2.97 * .34 * .37 * .38 FY 2.64 2.62 2.61 **GNDIVERS** backgrounds *** *** 2.93 2.65 .28 2.59 SR 2.61 .33 .34 * 2.93 2.77 .18 .31 .34 FY 2.65 2.62 m. Solving complex real-world problems **GNPROBSV** 3.01 2.86 .15 2.76 .26 2.74 *** .28 SR * 2.93 2.85 .08 2.69 .24 2.62 .31 FY Developing a personal code of values and ethics **GNETHICS** *** 3.12 2.88 *** SR .23 2.76 .35 2.66 .44 * .33 * 2.73 2.62 .11 2.39 .35 FY 2.40 Contributing to the welfare of your community **GNCOMMUN** 2.77 2.66 .11 2.52 .24 2.43 *** .33 SR .01 2.21 .13 FY 2.35 2.34 2.08 .26 Developing a deepened sense of spirituality **GNSPIRIT** .22 2.36 2.13 .21 2.11 1.91 .41 SR 12. Academic Advising 1=poor, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=excellent Overall, how would you evaluate the quality of 3.14 .10 3.02 .15 2.98 .20 3.06 FY academic advising you have received at your ADVISE institution? *** 3.05 2.96 .10 2.97 .09 2.84 .23 SR 13. Satisfaction 1=poor, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=excellent How would you evaluate your entire educational FY 3.25 3.27 -.02 3.20 .08 3.18 .10 **ENTIREXP** experience at this institution? 3.30 3.32 -.02 3.24 .08 3.20 SR .14 14. 1=definitely no, 2=probably no, 3=probably yes, 4=definitely yes If you could start over again, would you go to the 3.22 FY 3.25 3.42 -.22 3.22 .05 .04 SAMECOLL same institution you are now attending? 3.25 3.35 3.22 .04 3.19 .07 SR -.11

IPEDS: 226152

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 *** p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.