## **Texas A&M International University**

## Write on, TAMIU! Analytical Rubric for History

	Exemplary	Accomplished	Developing	Beginning	Unacceptable
	4	3	2	1	0
Focus	Assignment is completely & clearly addressed     Well-developed, interesting opening leads to central idea(s)     Clear thesis stated from the outset     Specific audience, occasion, or situation addressed with appropriate language	<ul> <li>Assignment is clearly addressed</li> <li>Effective, though less detailed opening leads to central idea(s)</li> <li>Clear thesis stated explicitly</li> <li>Awareness of audience, situation, &amp; occasion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Assignment is addressed</li> <li>Adequate opening leads to a central idea</li> <li>Thesis may not be immediately clear but is evident by the end of the piece</li> <li>Occasional awareness of audience, situation, &amp; occasion</li> </ul>	Assignment is partially or unclearly addressed     Rudimentary opening to writing sample     Ambiguous or unclear thesis     Little or no awareness of audience, situation, or occasion	<ul> <li>Assignment is not addressed</li> <li>No opening or intro</li> <li>Thesis not stated</li> <li>No awareness of audience, situation, or occasion</li> </ul>
Organization & Development	Consistently logical & effective ¶ing & structure Smooth, sophisticated transitions between & within ¶s Body ¶s provide convincing & detailed evidence/examples Effective, thorough discussion & explanation of topic Interesting, effective, insightful conclusion Exceptional higher order critical thinking appropriate for History	Usually logical & effective ¶ing & structure  Mostly smooth transitions between & within ¶s  Detailed evidence/examples in body ¶s with only occasional lapses  Mostly convincing, competent discussion of topic  Concludes paper effectively  Frequent higher order critical thinking appropriate for History	Occasionally inconsistent logic or unclear ¶ing & structure Occasional transitions between & within ¶s Body ¶s contain adequate but inconsistent levels of detailed evidence General, occasionally convincing discussion of topic Concludes adequately Adequate higher order critical thinking appropriate for History	Rarely logical, mostly ineffective ¶ing Awkward or missing transitions between & within ¶s Inadequate evidence/ examples in body ¶s or confusing explanations Rarely convincing discussion of topic Weak/mechanical/incomplete conclusion Infrequent higher order critical thinking appropriate for History	No logic and no ¶ing No transitions Body ¶s provide random or no evidence, discussion, or explanation Ineffective, unconvincing discussion of topic Missing, ineffective, dull, incoherent, or irrelevant ending Lacks higher order critical thinking appropriate for History
Style & Sentence Structure	<ul> <li>Sophisticated, effective, appropriate diction</li> <li>Sophisticated, varied sentence length and structure</li> <li>Consistent tone and appropriate voice</li> <li>Consistently smooth, clear, readable syntax</li> <li>Free of sentence faults and errors</li> <li>No wordiness</li> </ul>	Usually sophisticated, mostly accurate diction Frequently varied sentence length & structure Usually consistent tone and voice Frequently smooth, clear, readable syntax Infrequent errors Little wordiness	<ul> <li>Unsophisticated but generally accurate diction</li> <li>Some variety in sentence length and structure</li> <li>Occasionally inconsistent tone and voice</li> <li>Clear, occasionally tangled syntax</li> <li>Occasional errors</li> <li>Some wordiness</li> </ul>	Often limited, frequently imprecise diction     Mostly simple, rarely varied sentence length and structure     Frequently inconsistent tone inappropriate voice     Distracting, unidiomatic expressions & syntax     Frequent errors     Wordy	Limited, imprecise diction prevents communication of complex ideas Unsophisticated or no variation in sentence length & structure Inconsistent, inappropriate tone and voice Unreadable Widespread errors Excessive wordiness

Grammar & Mechanics	Free of grammatical errors     Free of usage and mechanical errors     Appropriate/correct format     Consistently uses correct verb tense	Few grammatical errors     Infrequent usage and     mechanical errors     Appropriate/correct format     Usually uses correct verb tense	Some grammatical errors     Some usage or mechanical errors     Appropriate/correct format     Frequently does not use correct verb tense	Distracting number of grammatical errors     Distracting number of usage or mechanical errors     Incorrect format     Often does not use correct verb tense	Excessive errors in grammar or mechanical conventions     Distorted, obscured, or incomprehensible meaning     Inappropriate/incorrect format     Little or no use of correct verb tense
Research	Consistently uses reliable, relevant, appropriate primary and secondary sources Consistently & correctly cites sources following Chicago Style Manual Unfailingly uses appropriate documentation Complete absence of plagiarism Thoughtful, insightful, effective synthesis of writer's ideas with info from sources	Frequently uses reliable, relevant, appropriate primary and secondary sources     Infrequent errors citing sources following Chicago Style Manual     Few lapses in use of appropriate documentation     Complete absence of plagiarism     Frequent insightful synthesis of writer's ideas with info from sources	Uses primary and secondary sources, most of which are reliable, relevant, and appropriate     Occasional errors citing sources following Chicago Style Manual     Occasional lapses in use of appropriate documentation     Complete absence of plagiarism     Some effective synthesis of writer's ideas with info from sources	Uses frequently unreliable or irrelevant primary and secondary sources     Frequent errors citing sources following Chicago Style Manual     Frequent lapses in use of appropriate documentation     Complete absence of plagiarism     Unsuccessfully attempts to synthesize writer's ideas with info from sources	Lacks sources or uses unreliable, irrelevant, inappropriate primary and secondary sources     Missing citations and has widespread errors citing sources following Chicago Style Manual     Little or no use of appropriate documentation     Evidence of plagiarism     No synthesis of writer's ideas with info from sources