THE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF THE INTERNATIONAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL OF	Total Score:			
Report Element				
1	Exemplary (3)	Sufficient (2)	Developing (1)	Needs Attention (0)
		Plan – Reporting Ele	ements	
Mission Statement	☐ Mission Statement is clear and concise.	☐ Mission statement is broadly indicated.	☐ Mission statement is vague but can still be understood.	☐ Mission statement is absent or difficult to understand.
	☐ Mission statement specifically identifies who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.	☐ Missions statement provides a general idea of who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.	☐ Mission statement partially addresses who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.	 Does not state who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for. Does not align with university's mission.
	☐ Mission statement clearly aligns with university's mission.	□ Closely Aligns with university's mission.	□ P artially aligns with university's mission.	
Objective (OBJ) & Outcomes (SLO)	□ Three to five OBJs and/or SLOs assessed.	Two OBJs and/or SLOs assessed.	 One OBJ or SLO assessed. OBJs and/or SLOs are vague 	 OBJs or SLOs are absent. OBJs and/or SLOs are
	 OBJs and/or SLOs a re clear, concise, measurable and realistic. OBJs identify specific end result of an activity, program, or service provided by the unit. 	 OBJs and/or SLOs a re broad, but still clear enough to infer action and measurability. OBJs identify general end result of an activity, program, or 	 OBJs and/of SLOs are vague and resulting measurement will provide incomplete data required for action. OBJs identify tangential end result of an activity, program, or 	 OBJs and/of SLOs are unmeasurable. OBJs do not define end result of an activity, program, or service provided by the unit.
	□ OBJs and/or SLOs clearly align with program mission or University Strategic Plan.	 OBJs and/or SLOs mostly align with program mission or University Strategic Plan. 	 OBJs and/or SLOs somewhat align with program mission or University Strategic Plan. 	□ OBJs and/or SLOs do not align with program mission or University Strategic Plan.
Student Learning Outcome (SLO)	□ SLO thoroughly describes what student will gain in content/skill/ or	□ SLO partially describes what student will gain in content/skill/ or	□ SLO vaguely describes what student will gain in content/skill/	□ SLO does not describe what student will gain in content/skill/ or attitudinal

Specific items Only utilized when SLO is being assessed.	attitudinal domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. ☐ All SLO's stated with clarity and specificity including precise verbs, rich description of the content/skill/or attitudinal domain, the specifications of whom should be assessed (e.g., "enrolled freshman between the age of 18-21 years old").	attitudinal domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. □ SLO generally contain precise verbs, rich description of the content/skill or attitudinal domain, and specification of whom should be assessed (e.g., "enrolled freshman").	or attitudinal domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. SLO present, but with imprecise verbs (e.g., know, understand), vague description of content/skill/ or attitudinal domain, and non-specificity of whom should be assessed (e.g., "students").	 domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. □ SLO is not stated.
Office (OBJ & SLO) Measures	 Two or more Measures (Minimum of Two Direct) specified. Measures are described clearly and with sufficient detail of event, program, method of assessment, and instruments (documents, data charts, spread sheets, survey templates) Measures directly align with unit's outcomes. 	 Two measures (Minimum of One Direct) specified. Measures provide a broad description of event, program, method of assessment and instruments. Measure align fairly well to outcomes. 	 One direct measure or two indirect measures specified. Measures vaguely describes event, program, method of assessment and instruments. Measures vaguely align with unit's outcomes. 	 One indirect measure specified, or measures are absent. Measures do not describe event, program, method of assessment and instruments. Measures do not align with unit's outcomes.
Student (SLO) Measures *Additional Criterion Specific items Only utilized when SLO is being assessed.	 A clear description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate deep mastery of the SLO. Identified a scoring rubric/ methodology that clearly describes appropriately differentiates student performance. 	 A general description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate adequate mastery of the SLO. Identified a scoring rubric / methodology that provides some description that partially differentiate student performance. 	 Little description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate mastery of the SLO. Identified a scoring rubric / methodology that provides an unclear, insufficient, or confusing, description that has minimal to no differentiation of student performance. 	 No description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate mastery of the SLO. No scoring rubric / methodology is included or identified.
Targets	 Targets clearly align with measures. Targets is challenging but realistic 	 Targets aligns fairly well with measures. Targets are realistic but lacks rigor. 	 Targets partially aligns with measures. Targets unrealistic or lacks rigor. 	 Targets do not align with measures. Targets are absent.

Desired result specified AN		□ Statement of desired result	\Box No a priori desired results for
justified (e.g., Last year the ty student scored 20 points on me	6	(e.g., student growth, comparison to previous year's data,	objectives.
The current cohort underwent r		comparison to faculty standards,	
extensive coursework in the ar hope that the average student s	,	performance vs. a criterion), but	
points or better.)		no specificity (e.g., students will grow; students will perform better	
		than last year).	