Tukey classes of local bases in compacta

David Milovich

16th Boise Extravaganza in Set Theory

Motivation

- Study homeomorphism-invariant local properties of compacta in hopes of obtaining negative results about open questions about homogeneous compacta.
- Specifically, study order-theoretic properties of local bases of compacta.

Topological preliminaries

- Definition. A *local base* at a point p in a space X is a family *F* of open neighborhoods of p such that every neighborhood of p contains an element of *F*.
- **Definition.** A *local* π -*base* at a point p in a space X is a family \mathcal{F} of nonempty open subsets of X such that every neighborhood of p contains an element of \mathcal{F} .
- **Definition.** $\chi(p, X) = \min\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \text{ local base at } p\}.$
- **Definition.** $\pi\chi(p, X) = \min\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \text{ local } \pi\text{-base at } p\}.$

Tukey equivalence

- **Definition.** A directed set P is Tukey reducible to a directed set Q (written $P \leq_T Q$) if there is map from P to Q such that the image of every unbounded set is unbounded.
- Theorem (Tukey, 1940). $P \equiv_T Q$ iff P and Q embed as cofinal subsets of a common third directed set.
- Convention. Families of open sets are ordered by \supseteq .
- Corollary. Every two local bases at a common point are Tukey equivalent.

- $P \leq_T Q \Rightarrow \mathsf{cf}(P) \leq \mathsf{cf}(Q)$
- $\alpha \leq_T \beta \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{cf}(\alpha) = \mathsf{cf}(\beta)$
- $P \leq_T P \times Q$
- If $P \leq_T R \geq_T Q$, then $P \times Q \leq_T R$.
- **Convention.** Sets of the form $[A]^{<\kappa}$ are ordered by \subseteq .
- $P \leq_T [cf(P)]^{<\omega}$
- $[A]^{<\omega} \leq_T [B]^{<\omega} \Leftrightarrow |A| \leq |B| + \omega$

- Theorem 1. Let X be a compactum and $\kappa = \min_{p \in X} \pi \chi(p, X)$. Then there is a local base \mathcal{F} at some point in X such that $[\kappa]^{<\omega} \leq_T \mathcal{F}$.
- Corollary. Let X be a compactum such that every point has a local base with no uncountable antichains (in the sense of incomparability). Then there is a countable local π-base at some point in X.
- **Proof.** Use $\omega_1 \to (\omega_1, \omega + 1)$ to conclude that $[\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ is not Tukey reducible to any local base of X. Apply Theorem 1.

- **Definition.** A directed set *P* is *flat* if $P \equiv_T [cf(P)]^{<\omega}$. A point in a space is flat if it has a flat local base.
- Corollary. Let X be a compactum such that $\pi\chi(p,X) = \chi(q,X)$ for all $p,q \in X$. Then X has a flat point.
- **Definition.** A compactum is *dyadic* if it is a continuous image of a power of 2.
- Theorem 2. Every point in every dyadic compactum is flat.
- Question. Is every point in every homogeneous compactum flat?

Independence results about $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$

- Theorem 3 (Dow & Zhou, 1999). There is a flat point in $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$.
- Question. Is it consistent that all points in $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$ are flat?
- Theorem 4 (MA). If $\omega \leq cf(\kappa) = \kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$, then $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$ has a local base Tukey equivalent to $[\mathfrak{c}]^{\leq \kappa}$.
- Question. Assuming MA, does Theorem 4 enumerate all Tukey classes of local bases of $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$?

- **Definition.** The *pseudointersection number* \mathfrak{p} is the least κ for which MA_{κ} fails for some σ -centered poset.
- Theorem 5. If κ is a regular infinite cardinal less than \mathfrak{p} and Q is a κ -directed set, then no local base in $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$ is Tukey equivalent to $\kappa \times Q$. 4/9/2007: The second κ should be a κ^+ .
- Corollary (MA). If κ and λ are distinct regular infinite cardinals, then no local base in $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$ is Tukey equivalent to $\kappa \times \lambda$.
- Theorem 6. Given any two regular uncountable cardinals κ and λ, it is consistent with ZFC that βω \ ω has a local base Tukey equivalent to κ × λ.

• **Remark.** It is not hard to show that, for a fixed κ , a construction of Brendle and Shelah (1999) can be trivially modified to yield of a model of ZFC in which $\beta \omega \setminus \omega$ has a local base Tukey equivalent to $\kappa \times \lambda$ for each λ in an arbitrary set of regular cardinals exceeding κ .

References

J. Brendle and S. Shelah, *Ultrafilters on* ω —*their ideals and their cardinal characteristics*, Trans. AMS **351** (1999), 2643–2674.

A. Dow and J. Zhou, *Two real ultrafilters on* ω , Topology Appl. **97** (1999), no. 1–2, 149–154.

J. W. Tukey, *Convergence and uniformity in topology*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 2, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1940.

• About the proof of Theorem 2. It suffices to build a local base \mathcal{F} at a given point such that \mathcal{F} is ω -like (*i.e.*, all bounded sets are finite). We proceed by induction on the weight of the space, using a chain of elementary substructures of some H_{θ} and a nice reflection property of free boolean algrebras, which are the Stone duals of powers of 2.

- About the proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to find a κ -sized family of neighborhoods of some point p such that the intersection of an infinite subfamily never has p in its interior. Given a family \mathcal{F} of sets, set $\Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \{ \langle \sigma, \langle E_i \rangle_{i < n} \rangle \in [\mathcal{F}]^{<\omega} \times ([\mathcal{F}]^{\omega})^{<\omega} : \forall \tau \in \prod_{i < n} E_i \quad \cap \sigma \subseteq \overline{\bigcup} \operatorname{ran}(\tau) \}$. The trick is to iteratively construct open neighborhoods $\langle U_{\alpha} \rangle_{\alpha < \kappa}$ of a common point such that $\Phi(\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < \kappa}) = \emptyset$.
- About the proof of Theorem 4. Use Solovay's Lemma to iteratively build a local base *F* at a *P_κ*-point that also satisfies Φ_κ(*F*) = Ø where Φ_κ(*F*) is Φ(*F*) with [*F*]^ω replaced by [*F*]^κ.