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Expanded Statement of Institutional Purpose Linkage:

Institutional Mission Reference:
Texas A&M International University, a Member of The Texas A&M University System, is committed to the preparation of students for leadership roles in their chosen profession and in increasingly complex, culturally diverse state, national, and global society ... Through instruction, faculty and student research, and public service, Texas A&M International University is a strategic point of delivery for well-defined programs and services that improve the quality of life for citizens of the border region, the State of Texas, and national and international communities.

College/University Goal(s) Supported:
To increase “(1) students’ ability to communicate through the use of the written and spoken word; (2) their knowledge and appreciation of culture, fine arts, social integration; and (3) self realization. The College [COAS] also prepares students for a variety of professions and roles by providing a broad-based liberal arts education.”

Intended Educational (Student) Outcomes:
1. Graduates will demonstrate a knowledge of literature that compares favorably to that of graduates from similar programs in the nation.

2. Graduates will be successful in passing state certification exams for secondary teachers of English.

3. Graduates of the educator preparation program will demonstrate adequate knowledge of instructional design and assessment to promote student learning.

4. Graduates completing the educator preparation program will demonstrate the skills related to implementing effective, responsive instruction and assessment.
Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:

1. Graduates will demonstrate a knowledge of literature that compares favorably to that of graduates from similar programs in the nation.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

1a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
Graduates will score at the 50th percentile or above overall on a norm-referenced subject test of literature, namely the Major Field Assessment Exam (MFAT).

1a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
There were no graduating seniors with a Bachelor of Arts in English with a Grades 4-8 Certificate for spring 2004. Assessment will continue fall 2004.

1a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
ASSESSMENT REPORT
FOR
Bachelor of Arts in English with Grades 8th -12th Certification in English Language Arts and Reading (BA)
Instructional Degree Program

Spring 2004
Assessment Period Covered

July 20, 2004
Date Submitted

Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:

2. Graduates will be successful in passing state certification exams for secondary teachers of English.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

2a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
Within one year of graduation, 80% or higher of graduates will pass the Texas Examination of Educator Standards 117 (TExES) Exam for English Language Arts and Reading for Secondary School Certification by the State of Texas.

2a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
Because the TExES is offered several times throughout the spring semester, data was collected on seniors and recent (within one year) graduates for all of the Spring, 2004 test dates. Students who took the exam multiple times are counted for the last time they took the exam, through the May 22, 2004 test date.

Of the 5 qualifying students who tested, 5 passed, yielding a rate of 100%. (1)

2a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
The department of Language and Literature has adopted a multifaceted approach based on the results. The first of these intends to fine-tune the criteria and assessment techniques. The latter two are programmatic—one curricular, one extra-curricular.

Because the percentage above would be more useful to us if it were to reflect 1) the total number of seniors who took the exam and passed during the Fall, 2003 semester; 2) the number of times students took the exam before passing (when students took it more than once); and, 3) how students scored on specific subject domains within the test framework, we have decided to expand the original criteria for success, above, to:

Within one year of graduation, including the two semesters preceding graduation, 80% or higher of graduates will, on their first or second attempt, pass the Texas Examination of Educator Standards 117 (TExES) Exam for English Language Arts
and Reading for Secondary School Certification by the State of Texas with scores above 235 in all domains of the exam.
Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:

3. Graduates of the educator preparation program will demonstrate adequate knowledge of instructional design and assessment to promote student learning.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

3a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
Graduates of the educator preparation program will score at or near 70% on the Texas Examinations of Educator Standards (TExES) exam for Pedagogy and Professional Responsibilities, Domain I.

3a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
There were no graduates during this semester.

3a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
Because there were no graduates, the program faculty could not make recommendations at this time.
Intended Educational (Student) Outcome:

4. Graduates completing the educator preparation program will demonstrate the skills related to implementing effective, responsive instruction and assessment.

First Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

4a. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
Ninety percent (90%) of students completing the educator preparation program on the Field Performance Evaluations will be at or near 85% with no score lower than 65%.

4a. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
There were no graduates in this semester.

4a. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
Because there were no graduates, the program faculty could not make recommendations at this time.

Second Means of Assessment for Outcome Identified Above:

4b. Means of Program Assessment & Criteria for Success:
At the Portfolio Defense, the students completing the educator preparation program will provide evidence of knowledge and performance of instructional design and assessment with a score of 80% or better, as determined by a team of Field Supervisors using a common rubric.

4b. Summary of Assessment Data Collected:
There were no graduates in this semester.

4b. Use of Results to Improve Instructional Program:
Because there were no graduates, the program faculty could not make recommendations at this time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>LOCATION/Special Instructions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) TExES 117-Eng. L.A. &amp; Rdg.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Performance Evaluations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common rubric (need proper name)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>