THE INTERNATIONAL WIVERS	Total Score:					
Report Element	E 1 (2)	Levels of Per				
Keport Element     Exemplary (3)     Sufficient (2)     Developing (1)     Needs Attention (0)       Plan – Reporting Elements						
Mission Statement	<ul> <li>Mission Statement is clear and concise.</li> <li>Mission statement specifically identifies who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.</li> <li>Mission statement clearly aligns with university's mission.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mission statement is broadly indicated.</li> <li>Missions statement provides a general idea of who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.</li> <li>Closely Aligns with university's mission.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mission statement is vague but can still be understood.</li> <li>Mission statement partially addresses who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.</li> <li>Partially aligns with university's mission.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mission statement is absent or difficult to understand.</li> <li>Does not state who unit is, what they do, why they do it, and for whom they do it for.</li> <li>Does not align with university's mission.</li> </ul>		
Objective (OBJ) & Outcomes (SLO)	<ul> <li>Three to five OBJs and/or SLOs assessed.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs are clear, concise, measurable and realistic.</li> <li>OBJs identify specific end result of an activity, program, or service provided by the unit.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs clearly align with program mission or University Strategic Plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Two OBJs and/or SLOs assessed.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs are broad, but still clear enough to infer action and measurability.</li> <li>OBJs identify general end result of an activity, program, or service provided by the unit.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs mostly align with program mission or University Strategic Plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One OBJ or SLO assessed.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs are vague and resulting measurement will provide incomplete data required for action.</li> <li>OBJs identify tangential end result of an activity, program, or service provided by the unit</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs somewhat align with program mission or University Strategic Plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>OBJs or SLOs are absent.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs are unmeasurable.</li> <li>OBJs do not define end result of an activity, program, or service provided by the unit.</li> <li>OBJs and/or SLOs do not align with program mission or University Strategic Plan.</li> </ul>		
Student Learning Outcome (SLO)	□ SLO thoroughly describes what student will gain in content/skill/ or	□ SLO partially describes what student will gain in content/skill/ or	□ SLO vaguely describes what student will gain in content/skill/	□ SLO does not describe what student will gain in content/skill/ or attitudinal		

Specific items Only utilized when SLO is being assessed.	attitudinal domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. □ All SLO's stated with clarity and specificity including precise verbs, rich description of the content/skill/or attitudinal domain, the specifications of whom should be assessed (e.g., "enrolled freshman between the age of 18-21 years old").	attitudinal domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. SLO generally contain precise verbs, rich description of the content/skill or attitudinal domain, and specification of whom should be assessed (e.g., "enrolled freshman").	or attitudinal domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction. SLO present, but with imprecise verbs (e.g., know, understand), vague description of content/skill/ or attitudinal domain, and non-specificity of whom should be assessed (e.g., "students").	<ul> <li>domain and/or be able to do by the end of instruction.</li> <li>□ SLO is not stated.</li> </ul>
Office (OBJ & SLO) Measures	<ul> <li>Two or more Measures (Minimum of Two Direct) specified.</li> <li>Measures are described clearly and with sufficient detail of event, program, method of assessment, and instruments (documents, data charts, spread sheets, survey templates)</li> <li>Measures directly align with unit's outcomes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Two measures (Minimum of One Direct) specified.</li> <li>Measures provide a broad description of event, program, method of assessment and instruments.</li> <li>Measure a lign fairly well to outcomes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One direct measure or two indirect measures specified.</li> <li>Measures vaguely describes event, program, method of assessment and instruments.</li> <li>Measures vaguely align with unit's outcomes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One indirect measure specified or measures are absent.</li> <li>Measures do not describe event, program, method of assessment and instruments.</li> <li>Measures do not align with unit's outcomes.</li> </ul>
Student (SLO) Measures *Additional Criterion Specific items Only utilized when SLO is being assessed.	<ul> <li>A clear description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate deep mastery of the SLO.</li> <li>Identified a scoring rubric/ methodology that clearly describes appropriately differentiates student performance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A general description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate adequate mastery of the SLO.</li> <li>Identified a scoring rubric / methodology that provides some description that partially differentiate student performance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Little description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate mastery of the SLO.</li> <li>Identified a scoring rubric / methodology that provides an unclear, insufficient, or confusing, description that has minimal to no differentiation of student performance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No description of how the learning goal allows students to demonstrate mastery of the SLO.</li> <li>No scoring rubric / methodology is included or identified.</li> </ul>
Targets	<ul> <li>Targets clearly align with measures.</li> <li>Targets is challenging but realistic</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Targets aligns fairly well with measures.</li> <li>Targets are realistic but lacks rigor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Targets partially aligns with measures.</li> <li>Targets unrealistic or lacks rigor.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Targets do not align with measures.</li> <li>Targets are absent.</li> </ul>

	Desired result specified AND justified (e.g., Last year the typical student scored 20 points on measure x. The current cohort underwent more extensive coursework in the area, so we hope that the average student scores 22 points or better.)	Desired result specified (e.g., our students will gain ½ standard deviation from junior to senior year; our students will score above a faculty-determined standard).	□ Statement of desired result (e.g., student growth, comparison to previous year's data, comparison to faculty standards, performance vs. a criterion), but no specificity (e.g., students will grow; students will perform better than last year).	□ No a priori desired results for objectives.				
	REPORT - REPORTING ELEMENTS							
Findings	<ul> <li>Findings are clear and well-organized</li> <li>Findings directly align with the measure.</li> <li>Past iterations(s) of results (e.g., last year's) provided for majority of assessments in addition to current year.</li> <li>Findings include sufficient supporting documentation (i.e., rubrics, data tables, blank artifacts).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Findings are mostly clear and/or mostly organized.</li> <li>Findings closely align with the measure.</li> <li>Past iteration(s) of results (e.g., last year's) provided for some assessments in addition to current year's.</li> <li>Findings include some supporting documentation (i.e., rubrics, data tables, blank artifacts).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Findings are identified, but vague or difficult to understand.</li> <li>Findings partially align with the measure.</li> <li>Only current year's results provided.</li> <li>Findings include little or unfitting supporting documentation (i.e., rubrics, data tables, blank artifacts).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Findings are not included.</li> <li>Findings do not align with the measure.</li> <li>No results provided.</li> <li>Findings do not include supporting documentation (i.e., rubrics, data tables, blank artifacts).</li> </ul>				
Action Plan	<ul> <li>Actions planned are well-thought out and appropriate for the unit.</li> <li>Actions planned clearly demonstrate examples of modifications (or plans to modify) documented and directly related to findings of assessment. These modifications are very specific (e.g., approximate dates of implementation and when they would occur.)</li> <li>Critical evaluation of past and current assessment, including</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Actions planned are general and appropriate for the unit.</li> <li>Actions plans demonstrate examples of modifications (or plans to modify) documented and directly related to findings of assessment. However, the modifications lack specificity.</li> <li>Critical evaluation of past and current assessment, including acknowledgement of flaws; Plus,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Actions planned are vague and/or somewhat appropriate for the unit.</li> <li>Actions planned indicate examples of modifications (or plans to modify) documented but the link between them and the assessment findings is not clear.</li> <li>Some critical evaluation of past and current assessment, including acknowledgement of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Actions planned are inappropriate or absent</li> <li>Actions planned do not demonstrate or mention of any modifications.</li> <li>No mention of how this iteration of assessment is improved from past administrations.</li> </ul>				

acknowledgement of flaws; both present improvements and intended improvements are provided; for both, specific details are given. Either present improvements or intended improvements must encompass a major revision.		flaws, but no evidence of improving upon past assessment or making plans to improve assessment in future iterations.	
---	--	---	--