

Annual Assessment Reviewer Checklist Plan & Reporting Sections Institutional Effectiveness – 7.3 Standard



Unit/Office: _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Intuitional Effectiveness Element	Yes	No	Comments
Office Mission Statement			
Is the office mission clear and specific to the unit?			
Does the mission state specifically who the unit serves, why and how?			
Is the Office Mission aligned to the University Mission and/or Strategic Plan ?			
Objectives (OBJ)			
Are at least 2 objectives identified for assessment this cycle?			
Does each objective contain a measurable action verb? “Understand,” “appreciate,” or “value” <u>are not</u> acceptable verbs.			
Does each objective focus on one outcome?			
Is each objective aligned with the Office’s Mission?			
Are the objectives aligned to the University’s Strategic Plan?			
Measures			
Are there at least 2 measures per objective ?			
Is at least one measure for each objective a direct measure?			

Are the measures aligned to the objective specified?			
Is the measure described clearly and with sufficient detail of event, program, method of assessment, and instruments (documents, data charts, spread sheets, survey templates)?			
Is each measure evaluated independently (no multiple measures)?			
Targets			
Is the target directly aligned with each measure?			
Is the target written in the correct format? Percentage Format: “XX% or more of TAMIU community members will participate in [name of event/service].” Proficiency Format: “The mean of TAMIU community members rating of ‘(service)’ will increase xx or more .”			
Does the target avoid using a set goal of 100%? (REMOVE)			
Does the target avoid using words that are not clear i.e. “satisfactory” or “successful” and instead use a quantitative number?			
Data Collection			
Does the plan identify specifically where data collection will take place?			
Does the plan identify specifically when data collection will take place?			
Does the plan identify specifically who or whom will be responsible for collecting the data?			

REPORTING QUESTIONS BELOW			
Findings			
Are the findings clear and well-organized ?			
Do the findings directly align with the measure?			
Was sufficient documentation (i.e., rubrics, data tables, blank artifacts) provided in AEFIS for verification of findings?			