

# 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Pathways Student Research Symposium

Presenter's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Oral Presentation Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Student Classification: Undergraduate  Masters  Doctoral

## ORAL Presentation Judging Rubric

Category	0-1	2-4	5-7	8-10	Score
<b>Abstract</b>	Unable to connect to oral presentation. Many mechanical errors.	Somewhat able to see connection of abstract to research/presentation but with several mechanical errors. Abstract did not contain sufficient information.	Abstract adequately summarized the student's research with few mechanical errors. More information would have been beneficial.	Abstract strongly summarized the student's research. Clearly supported topic presented and contained important points with no mechanical errors.	
<b>Content</b>	Presentation did not convey development of argument, ideas, or point of research.	Development and support of ideas/argument was difficult to follow and understand. Either points did not sufficiently relate to topic or presenter got off topic.	Sufficient development and support of ideas/argument. Most topic points shared were understood.	Strong material. Clear development and support of ideas/argument. Presenter clearly shared supporting points that appear to be accurate.	
<b>Preparedness</b>	Presenter was not prepared.	Presenter was somewhat prepared, but it was clear that rehearsal was lacking.	Presenter was relatively prepared, but more rehearsal would have been beneficial.	Presenter was completely prepared and obviously rehearsed.	
<b>Visual Support</b>	Did not use visual aid OR use of visual aid was ineffective.	Use of visual aid was not clearly effective or supportive of material presented.	Effectively utilized a visual aid that improved the presentation of material.	Utilized visual support that showed considerable work and greatly enhanced the presentation.	
<b>Presentation</b>	Presenter did not connect with the audience. Speaking volume was monotone or audience was unable to hear, no eye contact, poor posture.	Adequate connection with the audience, but with some issues (speaking volume was inconsistent or monotone, poor posture, etc.).	Presenter connected with the audience and mostly had good posture and eye contact, in a volume that could be heard by most of the audience.	Presenter was poised, dynamic, confident, and established rapport with the audience in a speaking volume loud enough to be heard by all.	
<b>Grand total (Maximum of 50):</b>					

Judge's Name (Please Print): \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

---



---



---